ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M06948 Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: 03/22/11 Project: Metro KC M06948, F&BI 103284

Date Extracted: 03/23/11 Lab ID: 103284-01 x10
Date Analyzed: 03/23/11 Data File: 103284-01 x10.063

Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1
Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 95 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 165

 Nickel
 162

 Copper
 141

 Zinc
 <10</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 03/22/11
Date Analyzed: 03/23/11
Matrix: Water

Units:

03/23/11 Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Alaskan Copper Works Project: Metro KC M06948, F&BI 103284

Lab ID: I1-200 mb
Data File: I1-200 mb.027
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 94 60 125

Concentration
ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/24/11 Date Received: 03/22/11

Project: Metro KC M06948, F&BI 103284

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 103257-07 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent					
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD			
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)			
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.15	108	112	67-132	4			
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	20.2	98 b	118 b	73-119	19 b			
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	10.7	98 b	106 b	50-144	8 b			
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	84.2	98 b	101 b	46-148	-3 b			

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent					
Analyte Chromium	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance				
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria				
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	66-135				
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	109	67-134				
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-134				
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	57-135				

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- \mbox{ip} Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

03284			SAN		IAIN OF C		ועט		1t	ادی					AIY
S ID STORES	THO	105~)	ý	SAMPLE	Seignoture)		_						Page #_	APOLIN	_ of
Send Report To GREEN THOMPSON Company ALARKAN GREEN WORKS Address 628 5. HARTS ST City, State, ZIP SEATTLE WA 98134 Phone #206-5716033 Fax # 206-382-8379			PROJECT NAME/NO. PO					PO#	TURNAROUND TIME O # Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH 4 5 4 5						
			METTO KC				MO	19CL	٠ ١٧						
							J. 10	m06948			Rush charges authorized by:				
			REMARKS								SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days				
City, State, ZIP		~ /0/	-	A80	**								pose at urn sai		iays
Phone #206-571-603	3 Fax # 20	6-382	-8379	Mak	3 /					10.110					tructions
						L_,			ANAL	SES R	EQUE	STED			
							ne z	09	270	18					
					# of	TPH-Diesel	ilos 1	8	\$ \frac{2}{8}	्र ध			- 1		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Ty	pe containers	G.H		d a	Sa by	3	- 1				Notes
				Ī		E.	TPH-Gasoline	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	CRUME					
							E E	1-1	S	19			\sqcup		
M06948	01	3/22/11	1:00Pm	HZO						1 7	.				
	1			Ì						1	j				
	1	1		1		-	+	1 1	+	1		+			
										1				Š	
•	1						\top								
	+	1					+	1 1		1	1	+	+		
										1					
						\vdash	-	+		+			\vdash		
							\perp	\perp					ot		
														=	
	1											_	\vdash		L)
7		OTON ACTO	D.73	<u> </u>	DDINE	LLL NAX					MD 433	37	لب	D A (TITE)	- OFF
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 2012 16th Avenue West				PRINT NAME					COMPANY DATE				TIN		
				(Operato Junga)									2:20		
	Received by M		w	- 1/	Than	Ph	an	<u>ر</u>	1	-e t	5 1		3/	125/11	<u></u>
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by													′	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	70								Čom	ples r	oroive.	ta h	20	C
RMS\COC\COC.DOC								123	-	Dam	brog r	5554			1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 24, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 22, 2011 from the Metro KC M06948, F&BI 103284 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0324R.DOC